Monday 14 December 2020 **GUERNSEY PRESS** guernseypress.com/business BUSINESS



Contact Will Green on 240236, email wgreen@guemseypress.com. Follow on Twitter @GPressBusiness



The possible implications of UK public sector spending review



Advocate Raymond Ashton offers his assessment

THIS is my last article for this year, which has been dominated by the pandemic throughout the world.

Obviously England has suffered

Obviously England has suffered much more than Guernsey, which has been subject to a much shorter period of restrictions than the UK. This will imply a smaller proportionate budget deficit. Recently the chancellor in England conducted a spending review which has put some reality on the situation currently facing that economy in the next five years and for some time after. Similar considerations will apply to the finances in Guernsey.

considerations will apply to the finances in Guernsey.

In paragraphs below I shall identify the challenges facing the chancellor in England which inevitably will have implications for the Crown Dependencies and Guernsey in particular. This short article will also focus on the options open to the Chancellor in terms of reducing the budget deficit, which will have implications for Guernsey. In Parliament the chancellor said that borrowing in 2020/2021 is set to be the highest in 2020/2021 is set to be the highest in



UK Chancellor of the Exchequer Rishi Si

peacetime and warned of the largest contraction in the economy for 300 years. What he didn't say is that the potential burden would that the potential burden would be much greater if interest rates were not currently so low and the repercussions would be horrific should rates rise to say 5%.

The Independent Office for Budget Responsibility forecasts a £30bn annual deficit by the middle of the decade. Inevitably this means increases in taxes and/or

means increases in taxes and/or cutbacks in public expenditure, or both, unless there is strong eco-nomic growth after the coronavi-rus. The difficult economic situation might be exacerbated should

the prime minister fail to secure

the prime minister fail to secure a trade deal with the EU before the expiration of the transitional period by the 31 December.

What the media has not focused on is that the OBR produced there scenarios based on the speed and extent of the economic recovery in the coming years. The chancellor focused on the central forecast and the effect of the second wave of Covid. The main effect will be to cause a double dip recession in the final quarter of 2020 with a fall of 11.3% in national output as compared to the previous year. It estimated that output would be 3% below the level forecasted

in March by 2025 and 6% below

in March by 2025 and 6% below in a pessimistic scenario. In the first two scenarios, incomes would fall and there would be somewhat higher unemployment (estimated at its peak to be 7.5%).

The problem with a weaker economy is self evident – lower tax revenues and higher public spending. The difference between a normal recession and the pandemic is the impact on borrowing and it is estimated that the government will have to borrow a further £280bn in 2020/2021 to support jobs, companies and the NHS. This extra spending has accounted for three quarters of increased borrowing, which is estimated to be £394bn or some 19% of gross national product in 2020/2021.

Even in 2021/2022 it is expectables.

national product in 2020/2021.
Even in 2021/2022 it is expected that another £55bn will be required in Covid measures but the fall as compared to 2020/2021 means that the deficit is estimated to the £18th £100 in the control ded to fall by 50% in the central forecast to £164bn.

As stated above, the UK gov-

ernment has not set out how it intends to address how it will re-duce the deficit. The figures above would have been higher but for would have been higher but for the chancellor cutting £13bn from day-to-day spending plans with public sector pay, including local authorities being hit the hardest, together with overseas aid. In addition the 'once in a gen-eration' investment in infrastruc-ture is smaller than planned, with reductions of £34bn in the four

ture is smaller than planned, with reductions of 23.4bn in the four years to 2021/2022. Inevitably in the next few years there will be significant cuts in the public sector and possible tax increases.

Tax increases will inevitably be 'eye catching' but this will be out of necessity. One target, based on the current review, will be the possible aligning of capital gains tax with income tax, an increase in the tax on dividends and yet another reduction in relief for pension contributions. One area

'The difference between a normal recession and the pandemic is the impact on borrowing and it is estimated that the government will have to borrow a further £280bn in 2020/2021'

suggested to this writer and well worthy is that UK dividends paid to foreign residents are not sub-ject to tax at the moment as they are excluded property. Remov-ing this exemption would, it is suggested, increase the tax yield significantly. There have recently even been suggestions of a wealth even been suggestions of a wealth tax in such august papers as the Financial Times. While a wealth tax would not be popular, it would be a good deal more favourable than the measure introduced by the Labour government in the 1988 Budget whereby unearned income tax for the year 1986/67 was in some cases in excess of 100%. Finally it may be that there might be an increase in VAT, which is a relatively cheap tax to administer, which could be a precursor to its

relatively cheap tax to administer, which could be a precursor to its introduction in Guernsey. Final mention should be made of the impact of a no-trade deal with Europe, the effect of which would be to increase inflation based on increases in tariffs and higher unemployment rising to 8.3% and a further increase in the budget defect in 2021.

8.3% and a further increase in the budget deficit in 2021.
All this is depressing at a time which should be joyous, but it is clear that unless there is a boom in the world economy the prescribed medicine in both the UK and Guernesy (to a lesser extent) will be severe if the fiscal deficit in government debt is to be redressed. This is without taking into account a possible increase in interest rates referred to above. May I wish readers a very happy

May I wish readers a very happy Christmas and a prosperous



THE latest available prices of Channel Islands-based shares and company funds are quoted below. Prices of those in which there is a daily dealing may change by the time of publication.

time of publication.
The following current prices as listed on The International Stock Exchange (TISE):
Baillivick Investments Ltd: bid £1.15, offer £1.25, yield 4.58%.
Channel Islands property Fund Ltd: bid 98p, offer £1.02, yield 6.6%.

Financial Services Opportunities Financial Services Opportunities Investment Fund Ltd: bid £1.13, offer £1.23, yield 0.85%. Ravenscroft Ltd: bid £6.20, offer £6.30, yield 2.88%. PraxisIFM Group Ltd: bid £1.25, offer £1.35, yield 2.88%. Sandpiper bid 75p, offer 85p, yield 4.61%.

